

YOUR LETTERS

March 9, 2017
Rappahannock Record
Kilmarnock, VA

More questions surface regarding bridge project

I do not understand.

Complete information on Dominion's proposed action(s) regarding crossing the Rappahannock River is missing. It is filed with the State Corporation Commission (SCC) as Confidential. See case PUE-2016-00021 under Documents at the SCC website.

Under what rules or conditions is information provided by Dominion Power allowed to be kept from the public?

It cannot be that they are afraid of revealing costing information or strategy to a competitor; they are a monopoly and therefore don't have competitors. Likewise, Virginia had not deregulated utilities to allow citizens to choose. Further, strategic project advisor, Dominion Technical Solutions, Mr. Keck testifies there

is no growth forecast for the Northern Neck, yet he keeps referring to a 230Kv solution as a replacement for the current 115Kv line.

Again, under what conditions, rules, or regulations (VA Code, SCC rules) is Dominion allowed to file a confidential version of a rebuttal or any other information?

Why overbuild to 230Kv when not needed for any future Northern Neck scenario?

It must be that the power has been planned to go somewhere else. If it is to feed growth in Stafford County, better to go up the southern side of the Rappahannock and cross where the river is much narrower. It would cost less.

If the matter is related to national security, as retired Marine Corps Gen. Sheehan suggested in his remarks at the July public meeting in Kilmarnock, then why wouldn't it be prudent to have the replacement for LN 65 out of sight, underground or in conduit under the bridge?

**George R. Bott,
Lancaster**